## **EXHIBIT A**

	O2CANEWC	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	NEW YORKERS AGAINST CONGESTION PRICING TAX, et al.,	
4	Plaintiff,	
5	V •	24 Civ. 367 (LJL)
6	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF	21 010 (201)
7	TRANSPORTATION, et al.,	
8		Conference
9	Defendants.	
10	x x	
11		
12	ELIZABETH CHAN, ET AL.,	
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	V •	23 Civ. 10365 (LJL)
15	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION et al.,	
16		
17	Defendants.	
18	x	
19		New York, N.Y.
20		February 12, 2024 10:07 a.m.
21	Before:	
22	HON. LEWIS J. LIMAN,	
23		District Judge
24		-
25		

speak to them about that.

THE COURT: Let me ask you the other questions that I've got.

Since you mentioned that you are cocounsel in the Eastern District of New York or counsel in the Eastern District of New York, has there been a schedule set in that case for motions? And more broadly, how in your view should I manage this case so that I'm not replicating what is being done by judges in other districts?

MR. KLINGER: Your Honor, the initial settlement conference in that case is this coming Friday.

THE COURT: That's initial status conference?

MR. KLINGER: Yes. And they have sought information very similar to what your Honor did in your order.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you given any thought to coordination between the cases? If there are going to be similar motions filed in each, it's obviously a question I'm going to ask defense counsel, but from plaintiff's perspective, why is — maybe you're the earliest filed case among all of the districts. I don't know the answer to that. But what efficiency is there in having cases filed in the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York, and the District of New Jersey that seem to involve the identical legal issues with an identical administrative record?

MR. KLINGER: Yes. And, I mean, so what was done with

calls for an evaluation of economic impacts. And the failure of the EIS process to do that requires that the final environmental assessment take into account the financial and economic impacts. And, therefore, there would have to be a supplemental EIS.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LESTER: So that's an additional claim that we have.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

MR. LESTER: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I'll hear from whoever on the defense side wants to go first. It would be helpful for somebody on the defense side, maybe at the beginning, to lay out the contemplated timetable for the implementation of congestion pricing. I'm aware that that has been laid out in a case in the District of New Jersey, but I haven't heard it firsthand and I think that will help frame the handling of this. Ms. Kaplan.

MS. KAPLAN: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

So let me just touch on that and touch on kind of the landscape right now of the various cases, your Honor.

Your Honor has it exactly right. There are two cases in the District of New Jersey, there are two cases before your Honor, and there's a case in the Eastern District. We have told Mr. Klinger that we intend to move to change venue for the

O2CANEWC

Eastern District case to move it to your Honor. We think it makes no sense to have a case in the SDNY and the EDNY at the same time. The cases are the same; the facts, the law is the same, as your Honor as pointed out.

THE COURT: How quickly do you contemplate making that motion in the Eastern District? I gather you've got a conference coming up later this week.

MS. KAPLAN: We would file it today, your Honor, but we're waiting to hear back from Mr. Klinger on a motion schedule. That's what Judge Gujarati requires before you file a motion. So as soon as he gets back to us with a motion schedule, it's ready to go and file that as soon as possible. And we actually think they should consent to that given that they're counsel to both cases.

But, in addition to that, your Honor, you said before that you're a latecomer to the case.

THE COURT: I didn't -- maybe I personally am a latecomer. I also meant to say that Mr. Lester seems to be a latecomer.

MS. KAPLAN: I'm a little bit of a latecomer, too, your Honor. But with respect to New Jersey, I would say that you're a latecomer, but you're not a latecomer. And the reason for that, your Honor, is because the New Jersey case has been through four judges I think. The judge who's the current judge on the case, Judge Conner, was recently assigned -- Gordon,

O2CANEWC

claims under right to travel, Dormant Commerce Clause that probably aren't ripe yet. So we would have to figure out finality on that end to settle, but that is very much in our interest if we can get it. The only other thing I would add before environmental issues —

THE COURT: Maybe, Ms. Kaplan, I assume you'll touch on what are in some ways related issues, sort of how to manage the cases across the districts. You answered that in part with respect to the Eastern District of New York, and then the question of class certification, which as to the APA claims, the administrative claims, seems to me to make very little sense. But it may actually with respect to the Dormant Commerce Clause claims have more sense to it. I don't know if you've given any thought to how to structure — how I should structure things, how things should be structured so as to achieve what I would hope would be everybody's goals of efficiency and expediency.

MS. KAPLAN: So our current thinking again, your Honor, subject to my colleagues, would be that in the -- that the EDNY case get moved here, and then that here we would file a motion for summary judgment. We agree with your Honor that there's no discovery in connection with the environmental claims, a motion for summary judgment on the administrative record, so the administrative record is before your Honor in the Chan case.

O2CANEWC

think I speak for Mr. Lester, correct me if I'm wrong, or our cocounsel in the Mulgrew case, what we don't want, your Honor, is to hear with all the claims that things aren't ripe yet.

That's what we're hearing, you're too late, you're too early, you're nowhere to be had. What we think would be quite unfair, your Honor, is to be told at some point in the future, the plan is so far advanced, how can you do anything about it. That's why we're here now. That's why we've brought the claims that we have in the Eastern District action, which is the Dormant Commerce Clause and the right to travel. We understand what defendants' arguments are going to be there, but we wanted to make sure that these issues are before not only the Court, but before the parties in connection with the supplemental review that they've acknowledged has to be undertaken.

THE COURT: And will you meet and confer with

Ms. Kaplan either right after this conference or certainly by

the end of the day today with respect to whether your position

with respect to transferring the Eastern District case to me,

or at least if you're not agreeing to that, to a motion

schedule so that the letter that I get at the end of this week

is one that would reflect either that there will be a motion

sub judice with respect to the Eastern District, or that

there's consent by both parties to moving the Eastern District

case here.

MR. KLINGER: The answer, your Honor, is I would just